arXiv:1401.8015v4 [math.OA] 2 Jun 2014

Invariant subspaces of algebras of analytic elements associated with periodic flows on W*-algebras

Costel Peligrad[1]

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, PO Box 210025, Cincinnati, OH 45221-0025, USA. E-mail address: peligrc@ucmail.uc.edu

Key words and phrases. W*-dynamical system, invariant subspaces, analytic elements, reflexive algebra

2013 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L10, 46L40, 47L75; Secondary 30H10, 47B35.

ABSTRACT. We consider an action of the circle group, $\boldsymbol{T}$ on a $\sigma-$finite W*- algebra, M. Similarly to the case when $M = L^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{T})$ is acted upon by translations, we define the generalized Hardy space $H_+ \subset H$ where H is the Hilbert space of a standard representation of M and the subalgebra M_+ of analytic elements of M with respect to the action. We prove that $M_+ \subset B(H_+)$ is a reflexive algebra of operators if the Arveson spectrum is finite or, if the spectrum is infinite, the spectral subspace corresponding to the least positive element contains an unitary operator. We also prove that M_+ is reflexive if M is an abelian W*-algebra. Examples include the algebra of analytic Toeplitz operators, w^*-crossed products, reduced w^*-semicrossed products and some reflexive nest subalgebras of von Neumann algebras.

1 Introduction

Let $A \subset B(X)$ denote a weakly closed algebra of operators on the Hilbert space X. Denote by $Lat(A)$ the lattice of closed subspaces of X that are invariant for all operators $a \in A$. Let $algLat(A) = \{b \in B(X) | bK \subset K \text{ for all } K \in Lat(A)\}$. The algebra is called reflexive if $A = algLat(A)$ (for more information on reflexive operator algebras, we recommend the book by H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal [7]). Thus, a reflexive operator algebra is completely determined by the lattice of its invariant subspaces.The problem of whether a weakly closed algebra of operators is reflexive started to be studied in the 1960s. In particular, Sarason [8], proved that that the algebra of analytic Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space $H^2(\boldsymbol{T})$ where $\boldsymbol{T}$ is the the unit circle $\boldsymbol{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \, |z| = 1\}$, is reflexive and so is any of its weakly closed subalgebras. In [6] we extended this result to the case of $H^p(\boldsymbol{T}), 1 < p \leqq \infty$. The same paper contains a study of reflexivity of subalgebras of analytic elements of crossed products of finite von

[1]Supported in part by a Taft Research Grant

Neumann algebras on non commutative Hardy spaces H^p associated with the W*-dynamical system. Further, in [3], E. Kakariadis has considered the more general case of semi crossed products and, among other results, he has extended the particular case of our main result in [6] for $p = 2$ to the semicrossed product setting. In this paper we study a related problem in a more general setting than the crossed product or the reduced w^*semicrossed product considered in [6] and [3]. We consider a W*-dynamical system $(M, \boldsymbol{T}, \alpha)$ where M is a $\sigma-$ finite W*-algebra and a standard covariant representation of the system on a certain Hilbert space, H, as constructed below. We then define the non commutative Hardy space, H_+ associated with this representation. We show that if the Arveson spectrum of the action is finite, then the algebra of analytic elements, M_+, is a reflexive operator algebra on H_+ (Theorem 5). We consider next the case of infinite Arveson spectrum and show that if the spectral subspace corresponding to the smallest positive element of the spectrum contains a unitary element, then, again, the algebra M_+ is reflexive (Theorem 8). Finally, we consider the case of abelian von Neumann algebras and we prove that in this case M_+ is always reflexive (Theorem 13). Examples and particular cases of our results include the classical result of Sarason, the crossed product [6], the reduced w^*-semicrossed product [3], the algebra of n x n upper triangular matrices and other nest subalgebras of von Neumann algebras.

2 Notations and preliminary results

Let $(M, \boldsymbol{T}, \alpha)$ be a W*-dynamical system, where M is a W^*-algebra with separable predual, $\boldsymbol{T}$ is the circle group, $\boldsymbol{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \, |z| = 1\}$ and α a w^*-continuous action of $\boldsymbol{T}$ on M. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by

$$M_n = \left\{ \int \overline{z}^n \alpha_z(m) dz | m \in M \right\}$$

where the integral is taken in the w^*-topology Therefore,

$$M_{-n} = \{m^* | m \in M_n\}$$

It can immediately be checked that

$$M_n = \{m \in M | \alpha_z(m) = z^n m\}$$

and

$$M_{-n} = (M_n)^*, \text{ where } (M_n)^* = \{m^* | m \in M_n\}$$

In particular, M_0 is the algebra of the fixed points of M under the action α. It is clear that the mapping $P_n^M : M \to M_n$ defined by $P_n^M(m) = \int \overline{z}^n \alpha_z(m) dz$ is a projection of M onto the closed subspace $M_n \subset M$. Thus $P_0^M : M \to M_0$ is a faithful normal conditional expectation of M onto the subalgebra M^α.

It is well known that M is the w^*-closed linear span of $\{M_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .The set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | M_n \neq \{0\}\}$ is called the Arveson spectrum of the action α and will be denoted by $sp(\alpha)$ (for more information about the Arveson spectrum of an action, see [1], [5]). Since M is $\sigma-$ finite, it follows, in particular, that M_0 has a faithful normal state, φ_0. This is the case, in particular, when M_0 has separable predual.Then, $\varphi = \varphi_0 \circ P_0^M$ is a faithful normal α-invariant state of M. Let $(H_\varphi, \pi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi)$ be the GNS representation of M corresponding to the state φ. Clearly, π_φ is a faithful representation of M on H_φ and ξ_φ is a cyclic and separating vector for M. In the rest of the paper we will identify $\pi_\varphi(M)$ with M and will write m instead of $\pi_\varphi(m), m \in M$. Also, we will denote H_φ by H and ξ_φ by ξ_0. In other words, we will consider a von Neumann algebra, $M \subset B(H)$, that has a cyclic and separating unit vector ξ_0 and the vector state $\varphi(m) = \langle m\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle$ is $\alpha-$invariant. If we define $U_z(m\xi_0) = \alpha_z(m)\xi_0$, for all $z \in \boldsymbol{T}$, then it is easy to see that $\{U_z\}_{z \in \boldsymbol{T}}$ is a group of unitary operators on H that implements the action α.

If S and F are the closures of the Tomita-Takesaki conjugate linear densely defined operators:

$$S_0(m\xi_0) = m^*\xi_0, m \in M$$
$$F_0(m'\xi_0) = (m')^*\xi_0, m' \in M'$$

then, it is known (see for instance [2], Section 9.2) that $S^* = F$, the positive self adjoint operator $\Delta = S^*S$ has an inverse $\Delta^{-1} = SS^*$ and there is a conjugate linear isometry J from H onto H such that

$$S = J\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

From the definition of the unitaries $U_z, z \in \boldsymbol{T}$**,** it immediately follows that all $U_z, z \in \boldsymbol{T}$**,** commute with S and therefore with $S^* = F$. Indeed

$$U_z S(m\xi_0) = U_z(m^*\xi_0) = \alpha_z(m^*)\xi_0 = SU_z(m\xi_0)$$

and therefore, $U_z S = SU_z, z \in \boldsymbol{T}$**.** Thus, all $U_z, z \in \boldsymbol{T}$ commute with J as well.

We can now state the following

Proposition 1 *With the notations above, we have the following:*
i) $\alpha'_z(m') = U_z m' U_z^*$ *is an action of* $\boldsymbol{T}$ *on* M'*, where* M' *is the commutant of* M *in B(H)*
ii) If $z \in \boldsymbol{T}$**,** *then* U_z *commutes with* J*, and* $J\alpha_z(m)J = \alpha'_z(JmJ), m \in M, z \in \boldsymbol{T}$
iii) $(M')_n = JM_{-n}J, n \in \mathbb{Z}$
iv) $U_z(m'\xi_0) = \alpha'_z(m')\xi_0$
v) $sp(\alpha) = sp(\alpha')$

Proof. i) Indeed, if $m \in M$, $m' \in M'$ and $a \in M$, we have:

$$U_z m' U_z^* m(a\xi_0) = U_z m' U_z^* m U_z U_{\overline{z}}(a\xi_0) == U_z m' \alpha_{\overline{z}}(m)(\alpha_{\overline{z}}(a)\xi_0) =$$

$$U_z \alpha_{\overline{z}}(m) m'(\alpha_{\overline{z}}(a)\xi_0) = v) sp(\alpha) = sp(\alpha') =$$

$$= mU_z m' U_{\overline{z}} U_z(\alpha_{\overline{z}}(a)\xi_0) = mU_z m' U_z^*(a\xi_0)$$

Hence $\alpha'_z(m') = U_z m' U_z^* \in M'$.
ii) The fact that the unitaries U_z commute with J was proved above. For the second part of ii), notice that

$$J\alpha_z(m)J = JU_z m U_z^* J = U_z JmJU_z^*$$

iii) follows immediately from i) and ii).
iv) Let $m' \in M'$. Then, $m' = JmJ$ for some $m \in M$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_z(m'\xi_0) = U_z(JmJ\xi_0) = JU_z(m\xi_0) = \\ = J(\alpha_z(m)\xi_0) = \alpha'_z(m')\xi_0 \end{aligned}$$

v) Let $n \in sp(\alpha)$. Then, since $M_{-n} = \{m^* | m \in M_n\}$ we have $-n \in sp(\alpha)$ .From iii) it then follows that $n \in sp(\alpha')$. The proof of the other inclusion is similar ■

Let $H_n = \left\{ \int \overline{z}^n U_z(\xi) dz | \xi \in H \right\} = \{\xi \in H | U_z\xi = z^n\xi\}$. Then, the map P_n^H from H to H_n defined as follows

$$P_n^H(\xi) = \int \overline{z}^n U_z(\xi) dz | n \in \mathbb{Z}, \xi \in H$$

is an orthogonal projection of H onto the closed supspace H_n. Also the closed subspaces $(M')_n \subset M'$ and the projections $P_n^{M'}$ from M' onto $(M')_n$ can be defined similarly with M_n and P_n^M.

Proposition 2 *i) If $n \neq k$, then H_n and H_k are orthogonal*
ii) For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\overline{M_n\xi_0} = H_n$, where $M_n\xi_0 = \{m\xi_0 | m \in M_n\}$
iii) For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\overline{(M')_n\xi_0} = H_n$, where $(M')_n\xi_0 = \{m'\xi_0 | m' \in (M')_n\}$
iv) The direct sum of Hilbert spaces $\sum H_n$ equals H

Proof. i) Let $\xi \in H_n, \eta \in H_k$. Then $U_z(\xi) = z^n\xi$ and $U_z(\eta) = z^k\eta$, for all $z \in \boldsymbol{T}$. Since U_z are unitary, we have

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle U_z\xi, U_z\eta \rangle = z^{n-k} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle , z \in \boldsymbol{T}$$

Since $n \neq k$ it follows that $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = 0$
ii) Since ξ_0 is cyclic for M, the subspace $M\xi_0 = \{m\xi_0 | m \in M\}$ is dense in H. Then, if P_n^H and P_n^M are the above projections, we have

$$M_n\xi_0 = P_n^M(M)\xi_0 = \left\{ \int \overline{z}^n \alpha_z(m)(\xi_0) dz | m \in M \right\} =$$

$$= \left\{ \int \overline{z}^n U_z(\xi) dz | \xi = m\xi_0, m \in M \right\} = \left\{ P_n^H(\xi) | \xi = m\xi_0, m \in M \right\}$$

Since the subspace $M\xi_0$ is dense in H and P_n^H is an orthogonal projection, the result stated in ii) follows

iii) Since ξ_0 is cyclic for M', the subspace $M'\xi_0 = \{m'\xi_0 | m' \in M'\}$ is dense in H. Then, if P_n^H and P_n^M are the above projections, we have

$$(M')_n\xi_0 = P_n^{M'}(M')\xi_0 = \left\{ \int \overline{z}^n \alpha'_z(m')(\xi_0)dz | m' \in M' \right\} =$$

$$= \left\{ \int \overline{z}^n U_z(\xi)dz | \xi = m'\xi_0, m' \in M \right\} = \left\{ P_n^H(\xi) | \xi = m'\xi_0, m' \in M' \right\}$$

Since ξ_0 is cyclic for M' , the result follows as in ii).

iv) Let $\eta \in H$ be such that $\eta \perp H_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since M is the w^*-closed linear span of $\{M_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, it follows from ii) that $\eta \perp M\xi_0$, so, since ξ_0 is cyclic for M, $\eta = 0$. ■

3 Invariant subspaces of subalgebras of analytic elements on generalized Hardy spaces

Let $(M, \boldsymbol{T}, \alpha), M \subset B(H)$ and ξ_0 be as in the previous section. If $sp(\alpha) = \{0\}$, then α is trivial in the sense that $\alpha_z = id$ for every $z \in \boldsymbol{T}$, where id is the identity automorphism, so $M = M_0$ and $H = H_0$. Since every von Neumann algebra is reflexive, this case has no interest from the point of view of this paper. Suppose $sp(\alpha) \neq \{0\}$. Then, by Proposition 1 v), we have $sp(\alpha') = sp(\alpha) \neq \{0\}$. We now define the generalized Hardy space, H_+ and the subalgebras of analytic elements of M, $M_+ \subset B(H_+)$ and $(M')_+ \subset B(H_+)$ which are generalizations of the algebra of analytic Toeplitz operators to the framework of periodic W^*-dynamical systems:

$$H_+ = \sum_{n \geqslant 0} H_n$$

If p_+ denotes the projection of H onto H_+, $\vee_{n \geqslant 0} M_n$ the $wo-$closed algebra generated by $\{M_n | n \geqslant 0\}$ and $\vee_{n \geqslant 0}(M')_n$ the $wo-$closed algebra generated by $\{(M')_n | n \geqslant 0\}$, we define

$$M_+ = p_+(\vee_{n \geqslant 0} M_n)|_{H_+}$$
$$(M')_+ = p_+(\vee_{n \geqslant 0}(M')_n)|_{H_+}$$

In this section we will find conditions for the reflexivity of M_+ [Theorems 5, 8 and 13]. We consider first the case when $sp(\alpha)$ is finite.

Lemma 3 *If $sp(\alpha)$ is finite, then $(M')_+)' = M_+$*

Proof. Clearly, $M_+ \subset ((M')_+)'$. Let now $x \in (M')'_+ \subset B(H_+)$. Then x commutes, in particular, with $(M')_k, k \geqslant 0$. Consider the following dense linear subspace of H

$$H' = \left\{ \xi \in H | P_k^H(\xi) \in (M')_k\xi_0 \text{ and } P_k^H(\xi) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Define the operator $\widehat{x}$ on H' as follows

$$\widehat{x}(m'_k\xi_0) = m'_k x\xi_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Since ξ_0 is a separating vector for M' and x commutes with $(M')_+$, $\widehat{x}$ is well defined. Due to the obvious facts that, for every operator $a \in B(H)$ and $\eta \in H$, $\|a\eta\| = \||a|\,\eta\|$ where $|a|$ is the absolute value of a, and $|m'_k| \in (M')_0 \subset (M')_+$, it follows that, if $m'_k \in (M')_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\|\widehat{x}m'_k\xi_0)\| = \|m'_k x\xi_0\| = \||m'_k|\,x\xi_0\| =$$
$$\|x(|m'_k|\,\xi_0)\| \leq \|x\|\,\||m'_k|\,\xi_0\| = \|x\|\,\|m'_k\xi_0\|$$

Therefore $\widehat{x}$ is bounded on $H_k, k \in sp(\alpha)$ and $\|\widehat{x}\| \leq \|x\|$, so, since $sp(\alpha)$ is finite, $\widehat{x}$ is bounded on H. On the other hand, if $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m'_n \in (M')_n, m'_k \in (M')_k$ we have $m'_n m'_k = m'_{n+k} \in (M')_{n+k}$, so

$$m'_n\widehat{x}(m'_k\xi_0) = m'_n m'_k x\xi_0 = m'_{n+k}x\xi_0 = \widehat{x}(m'_{n+k}\xi_0) = \widehat{x}(m'_n m'_k\xi_0)$$

Therefore, $\widehat{x} \in (M')' = M$. Thus, $p_+\widehat{x}p_+ = x \in M_+$ and we are done. ■

Suppose that $sp(\alpha)$ is finite. In this case, since, as noticed above, for every $n, k \in sp(\alpha), m_n \in M_n, m_k \in M_k$ we have $m_n m_k \in M_{n+k}$, it follows that every element of $M_n, n \in sp(\alpha)\setminus\{0\}$ is nilpotent. Notice also that, by Proposition 1 v), we have $sp(\alpha) = sp(\alpha')$.

Lemma 4 *Let $n \in sp(\alpha)\setminus\{0\}, n > 0$. and $w \in (M')_n$ a partial isometry. If w is nilpotent, then $w \in (algLat(M_+))'$*

Proof. We will use a method similar with the one used in the proof of [4, Proposition 19 i)]. Suppose $w^k = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqslant 2$. We will prove the Lemma by induction. Let first $k = 2$, so $w^2 = 0$. Let $p = 1 - w^*w \in (M')_0$, be the orthogonal projection of H_+ onto the kernel of w. Then, $p, 1-p \in Lat(M_+)$ and therefore, with respect to the decomposition $I_{H_+} = p + (1-p)$, we have

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$m = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}, \forall m \in M_+$$
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \forall x \in algLat(M_+)$$

Since $mw = wm$, we have $m_1 c = cm_2$. Therefore the subspace $K = \{c\xi \oplus \xi | \xi \in H_+\}$ is invariant for M_+. Since $x \in algLat(M_+)$, it follows that K is invariant for x. Thus $x_1 c = cx_2$, so $wx = xw$ and we are done with the case $k = 2$. Suppose next that for every partial isometry $w \in (M')_n$ with $w^k = 0$ it follows that $w \in (algLat(M_+))'$ and let $w \in (M')_n$ with $w^{k+1} = 0$. Let p denotes the orthogonal projection on $\ker w, p = 1 - w^*w$, so that $p \in (M')_0$. Since $wp = 0$

it follows that

$$(1-p)w = (1-p)w(1-p)$$

and therefore

$$(1-p)w^k = ((1-p)w(1-p))^k, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $w^{k+1} = 0$ we have $w^k(H_+) \subset \ker w$ and therefore

$$0 = (1-p)w^k = ((1-p)w(1-p))^k.$$

By hypothesis, $(1-p)w = (1-p)w(1-p) \in (algLat(M_+))'$. On the other hand, since $w \in (M')_1$ and $p_0 \in (M')_0$ we have $pw \in (M')_1$. Since obviously $(pw)^2 = 0$, by the previous arguments for $k = 2$, it follows that $pw \in (algLat(M_+))'$. Therefore

$$w = pw + (1-p)w \in (algLat(M_+))'$$

and the proof is completed ■

We can now state and prove the following

Theorem 5 *Let $(M, \boldsymbol{T}, \alpha)$ be as above. If $sp(\alpha)$ is finite, then M_+ is reflexive.*

Proof. Let $x \in algLat(M_+)$. Since $pH_+ \in Lat(M_+)$ for every projection $p \in (M')_0$, it follows that $x \in (M')_0'$. If $a \in (M')_n$ for some $n > 0$, then a has a polar decomposition $a = w\,|a|$, where obviously $w \in (M')_n$ and $|a| \in (M')_0$. Since $sp(\alpha)$ is finite, w is nilpotent and terefore by the previous lemma $xw = wx$, so $x \in (M')_+'$.Applying Lemma 3 it follows that $x \in M_+$ and we are done. ■

Example 6 *Let M be a von Neumann algebra and $\{p_1, p_2, ..., p_k\}$ a family of mutually orthogonal projections of M. Let $U_z = \sum z^{n_i} p_i, z \in \boldsymbol{T}$, where $\{n_1, n_2, ..., n_k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a decreasing finite set. Consider the periodic flow $\alpha_z(m) = U_z m U_z^*, z \in \boldsymbol{T}$ on M. It is easy to show that*

$$M_+ = \left\{ m \in M | m(\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{i} p_j H) \subset \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{i} p_j H, i = 1, ..., k \right\}$$

is the reflexive nest subalgebra of M corresponding to the nest $p_1 \leq p_1 + p_2 \leq ... \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} p_j$ and $sp(\alpha)$ is finite.

Let $n_0 = \min \{n \in sp(\alpha) | n > 0\}$. Suppose that M_{n_0} contains a unitary operator, v_0.

Proposition 7 *In the above conditions, we have*
i) $M_{kn_0} = \left\{v_0^k a | a \in M_0\right\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$
ii) $H_{kn_0} = \overline{\left\{v_0^k a\xi_0 | a \in M_0\right\}}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$
iii) $(M')_{n_0}$ *contains an unitary operator,* w_0
iv) $(M')_{kn_0} = \left\{w_0^k b | b \in (M')_0\right\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$
v) $sp(\alpha)$ *is a subgroup of* $\mathbb{Z}$

Proof. Let $m \in M_{kn_0}$. Then, $(v_0^*)^k m = a \in M_0$
ii) follows from i) and Proposition 2, ii)
iii) By Proposition 1, iii) the unitary operator $w_0 = Jv_0^*J$ is in $(M')_{n_0}$
iv) Similar with i)
v) From i) it follows that $\{kn_0 | k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq sp(\alpha)$. On the other hand, if $l \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in sp(\alpha)$, then there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k_0 n_0 \leq l \leq (k_0+1)n_0$. It can be easily verified that, $(v_0^*)^k \in M_{kn_0}^* = M_{-kn_0}$ and, since v_0 is unitary, we have $(v_0^*)^{k_0} M_l \neq \{0\}$. But $(v_0^*)^{k_0} M_l \subseteq M_{l-k_0 n_0}$, so $l - k_0 n_0 \in sp(\alpha)$. Since $l - k_0 n_0 \leq n_0$ and $n_0 = \min\{n | n \in sp(\alpha), n > 0\}$, it follows that either $l = k_0 n_0$ or $l = (k_0+1)n_0$. Therefore, $sp(\alpha) = \{kn_0 | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and we are done ■

Theorem 8 *Let* $(M, \boldsymbol{T}, \alpha), H_+, M_+, v_0$ *be as above. Then, the algebra* $M_+ \subset B(H_+)$ *is reflexive.*

The proof of this result will be given after a series of lemmas. The next lemma is a substitute for Lemma 3 for the case when M_{n_0}, (and therefore $(M')_{n_0}$), contains an unitary operator v_0 (respectively w_0).

Lemma 9 *i)* $(M_+)' = (M')_+$
ii) $((M')_+)' = M_+$

Proof. i) Let $x \in (M')_n$ and $m \in M_k, n, k \geqslant 0$. Then $p_+ x p_+ m p_+ = p_+ x m p_+ = p_+ m x p_+ = p_+ m p_+ x p_+$, so $(M')_+ \subseteq (M_+)'$. Let now $x \in (M_+)' \subset B(H_+)$. Consider the following linear subspace of H

$$H' = \left\{\xi \in H | P_n^H(\xi) \in M_n \xi_0, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } P_n^H(\xi) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n\right\}$$

Using Proposition 2 iii) and Proposition 7 iv), it follows that H' is a dense subspace of H. Let $\xi \in H' \cap H_+$. Define the following operator, $\widehat{x}$ on H'

$$\widehat{x}((w_0^*)^n \xi) = (w_0^*)^n x\xi, n > 0$$

Then, $\widehat{x}$ is well defined. Indeed if $\xi \in H_+$ and $\xi' \in H_+$ are such that $(w_0^*)^n \xi = (w_0^*)^k \xi'$ for $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, say $n \leq k$, then, $(w_0^*)^{n-k}\xi = (w_0)^{k-n}\xi = \xi'$. Since $(w_0)^{k-n} \in M_+$, and x commutes with M_+ we have

$$(w_0^*)^{n-k} x\xi = (w_0)^{k-n} x(\xi) = x(w_0)^{k-n}\xi = x\xi'$$

Therefore,

$$(w_0^*)^n x\xi = (w_0^*)^k x\xi'$$

so

$$\widehat{x}((w_0^*)^n\xi) = \widehat{x}((w_0^*)^k\xi')$$

Next we prove that the operator $\widehat{x}$ is bounded. Indeed

$$\|\widehat{x}((w_0^*)^n\xi)\| = \|(w_0^*)^n x\xi\| = \|x\xi\| \leq \|x\|\,\|\xi\| = \|x\|\,\|(w_0^*)^n\xi\|$$

Since $w_0 \in M'$, it is straightforward to prove that $\widehat{x} \in M'$ and $p_+\widehat{x}p_+ = x$. Thus $x \in (M')_+$ and we are done
ii) By replacing M with M' in i), and using Proposition 2, iii), the result follows
■

For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| < 1$, let $K_\lambda = \left\{ x(\lambda, b) = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \lambda^n w_0^n b | b \in (M')_0 \right\}$ and $K = \overline{lin\,\{K_\lambda | \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| < 1\}}$, where the closure is in the norm topolgy of M'.

Lemma 10 *With the abve notations,* $(M')_0 \subset K$ *and* $w_0 \in K$.

Proof. Clearly, for $\lambda = 0$, we get $K_0 = (M')_0 \subset K$. We will prove now that $w_0^n \in K$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Denote by $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ the complex roots of the equation $\lambda^{n+1} = \epsilon^{n+1}$. Let $\{\mu_0, \mu_1, ..., \mu_n\}$ be the solution of the following system of linear equations:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{i=n} \mu_i \lambda_i^j = \delta_{jn}, j = 0, 1, ..., n$$

where δ_{jn} is the Kronecker symbol. Using Cramer's rule, we get

$$\mu_i = \frac{\pm 1}{\Pi_{k<i}(\lambda_k - \lambda_i)\Pi_{k>i}(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)}$$

The choices of $\{\lambda_i\}$ and $\{\mu_i\}$ imply that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mu_i x(\lambda_i, 1) = w_0^n + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mu_i \lambda_i^j) w_0^j$.
Furthermore, for $i \neq k, k \geqslant 1$ we have

$$|\lambda_i - \lambda_k| \geqslant |\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k| = |\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}| = 2\epsilon \sin\frac{\pi}{n+1}$$

Therefore

$$|\mu_i| = \frac{1}{2^n \epsilon^n (\sin\frac{\pi}{n+1})^n}, i = 0, 1, ..., n$$

Thus

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mu_i \lambda_i^j \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon^j}{2^n \epsilon^n (\sin\frac{\pi}{n+1})^n}, j \geqslant n+1$$

It follows that

$$\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{n}\mu_i x(\lambda_i,1)-w_0^n\right\|=\left\|\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty}(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\mu_i\lambda_i^j)w_0^j\right\|\leq\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty}\frac{\epsilon^j}{2^n\epsilon^n(\sin\frac{\pi}{n+1})^n}=\frac{\epsilon}{2^n(\sin\frac{\pi}{n+1})^n(1-\epsilon)}$$

Hence $w_0\in K$ and we are done. ■

For $\lambda\in\mathbb{C},|\lambda|<1$, denote

$$\widetilde{H}_+^\lambda=\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\lambda^n w_0^n\xi|\xi\in H_0\right\}$$

Corollary 11 *The linear subspace, lin* $\left\{\widetilde{H}_+^\lambda|\lambda\in\mathbb{C},|\lambda|<1\right\}$, *spanned by* $\left\{\widetilde{H}_+^\lambda|\lambda\in\mathbb{C},|\lambda|<1\right\}$ *is dense in* H_+.

Proof. By Proposition 2 ii), $H_0=\overline{(M')_0\xi_0}$ and therefore, by Proposition 7 iv), $H_{kn_0}=\overline{w_0^kH_0}$. The result follows from the above lemma ■

We can give now the

Proof. of Theorem 8. By Proposition 2 iii), $(M')_0p_+\subset B(H_+)$. Since $v_0\in M_{n_0}\subset M$, it follows that $v_0e=ev_0$ for every projection $e\in(M')_0$. Therefore, for every projection $e\in(M')_0$, $eH_+\in Lat(M_+)$. Let $x\in algLat(M_+)$. Then, in particular, $xe=ex$ for every projection $e\in(M')_0$, hence, $x^*e=ex^*$ for every projection $e\in(M')_0$, where x^* is the adjoint of x in $B(H_+)$. It is clear that $x^*\in algLat(M_+^*)$, where $M_+^*\subset B(H_+)$ is the algebra of the adjoint elements of $M_+\subset B(H_+)$. On the other hand, since $v_0e=ev_0$ for all projections $e\in(M')_0$, we have that $v_0^*e=ev_0^*$ for $e\in(M')_0$ and $v_0^*\in M_{-n_0}\subset B(H)$. If we denote by S_{v_0} the operator v_0 on H_+, we have

$$S_{v_0}^*(\xi)=0\text{ if }\xi\in H_0\text{ and}$$
$$S_{v_0}^*(\xi)=v_0^*\xi\text{ if }\xi\in H_n,n>0$$

It follows that $S_{v_0}^*e=eS_{v_0}^*$ for all $e\in(M')_0$. Hence $eH_+\in Lat(M_+^*)$ for all $e\in(M')_0$. On the other hand, by the definition of w_0, we have $v_0w_0=w_0v_0$. Thus

$$S_{v_0}^*S_{w_0}^*=S_{w_0}^*S_{v_0}^*\text{ and }aS_{w_0}^*=S_{w_0}^*a,a\in M_0$$

If we denote

$$H_+^\lambda=\left\{\zeta\in H_+|S_{w_0}^*\zeta=\lambda\zeta\right\}$$

it is clear that

$$\widetilde{H}_+^\lambda\subset H_+^\lambda\text{ for all }\lambda\in\mathbb{C},|\lambda|<1$$

Since for every $\lambda\in\mathbb{C},|\lambda|<1$, we have $H_+^\lambda\in Lat(M_+^*)$, where H_+^λ denotes, as above, the closed subspace of all eigenvectors of $S_{w_0}^*$ corresponding to the

eigenvalue λ, and $x^* \in algLat(M_+^*)$, it follows that $x^* H_+^\lambda \subset H_+^\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| < 1$. We will prove next that

$$x^* S_{w_0}^* = S_{w_0}^* x^*$$

and then apply Lemma 9 to conclude that $x \in M_+$. Since $x^* H_+^\lambda \subset H_+^\lambda$, it immediately follows that $x^* S_{w_0}^* = S_{w_0}^* x^*$ on H_+^λ. By Corollary 12, the linear span of $\left\{ \widetilde{H}_+^\lambda | \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| < 1 \right\}$ is dense in H_+. Since $\widetilde{H}_+^\lambda \subset H_+^\lambda$, we have that the linear span of $\left\{ H_+^\lambda | \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| < 1 \right\}$ is dense in H_+. Therefore $x^* S_{w_0}^* = S_{w_0}^* x^*$ on H_+. Hence $x \in ((M')_+)'$. From Lemma 9 ii) it follows that $x \in M_+$ and we are done. ■

Remark 12 *Let A be a weakly closed algebra of operators on the Hilbert space X. Suppose that there exists a family of mutually orthogonal projections $\{q_\iota\} \subset A$ such that:*
i) q_ι commutes with A for every ι
ii) $q_\iota A q_\iota \subset B(q_\iota X)$ is reflexive for ever ι and
iii) $\sum q_\iota = I_X$ where I_X is the identity of $B(X)$
Then $A \subset B(X)$ is reflexive.

Proof. Let $x \in algLat(A)$. Since $q_\iota, 1 - q_\iota \in Lat(A)$, we have $xq_\iota = q_\iota x q_\iota \in algLat(q_\iota A q_\iota)$. The reflexivity of $q_\iota A q_\iota$ implies that $xq_\iota \in q_\iota A q_\iota = A q_\iota$ for all ι. Therefore $x = \sum x q_\iota \in A$. ■

The above techniques allow us to prove the following

Theorem 13 *Let $(M, \boldsymbol{T}, \alpha)$ be a W^*-dynamical system with M abelian in the standard form considered above. Then M_+ is reflexive.*

Proof. Let $n_0 = \min \{ n \in sp(\alpha) | n > 0 \}$. Let $v \in M_{n_0}$ be a partial isometry and $e = v^* v = v v^* \in M_0$. Then, ve is an unitary element of $eM_{n_0}e \subset B(eH_+)$. By Theorem 8, we have that eM_+e is a reflexive subalgebra of $B(eH_+)$. If $(1-e)M_{n_0}(1-e) \neq \{0\}$, then there is a partial isometry $u \in M_{n_0}$ such that $u^*u = uu^* \leq 1 - e$. Let $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1} \subset M_0$ be a maximal family of orthogonal projections such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$, $e_\gamma = v_\gamma v_\gamma^* = v_\gamma^* v_\gamma$ for some partial isometry $v_\gamma \in M_{n_0}$. Set $f_1 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1} e_\gamma$. Then, by Remark 12, $f_1 M_+ f_1$ is a reflexive subalgebra of $B(f_1 H_+)$. Clearly,

$$(1 - f_1) M_{n_0} (1 - f_1) = \{0\}$$

Suppose, by induction, that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqslant 2$ there is a projection $f_k \in M_0, f_k \leq 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_j$, such that

$$f_k M_+ f_k \text{ is a reflexive subalgebra of } B(f_k H_+) \text{ and}$$

$$(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_j) M_i (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_j) = \{0\} \text{ for all } i, n_0 \leq i < n_0 + k$$

Then, as in the step $k=1$, we can find $f_{k+1} \leq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} f_i$ such that

$$f_{k+1} M_+ f_{k+1} \text{ is a reflexive subalgebra of } B(f_{k+1} H_+) \text{ and}$$

$$(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} f_j) M_i (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} f_j) = \{0\} \text{ for all } i, n_0 \leq i < n_0 + k + 1$$

Let $f = \sum_{j \geqslant 1} f_j$. Then, applying again Remark 12 it follows that fM_+f is a reflexive subalgebra of $B(fH_+)$ and $(1-f)M_i(1-f) = \{0\}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$(1-f)M_+(1-f) = (1-f)M_0(1-f)$$

which is a von Neumann subalgebra of $B((1-f)H_+)$ and is ttherefore reflexive. Thus, by Remark 12 we have that

$$M_+ = fM_+ \oplus (1-f)M_+$$

is reflexive ■

REFERENCES

1. W. B. Arveson, The harmonic analysis of automorphism groups, operator algebras and applications, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1982.

2. R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, Vol. II Advanced Theory,Academic Press 1986.

3. E. T. A. Kakariadis, Semicrossed products and reflexivity, J. Operator Theory, 67(2012), 379-395.

4. F Merlevede, C, Peligrad and M. Peligrad, Reflexive Operator Algebras on Banach Spaces, Pacific J. Math., 267 (2014), 451-464, DOI: 10.2140/pjm.2014.267.451.

5. G. K. Pedersen, C*-algebras and their automorphism groups, Academic Press 1979.

6. C. Peligrad, Reflexive operator algebras on noncommutative Hardy spaces, Math. Annalen, 253(1980), 165-175.

7. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, Invariant subspaces, 2nd edition, Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 2003.

8. D. Sarason, Invariant subspaces and unstarred operator algebras, Pacific J. Math., 17(1966), 511-517.